

Fig. 2. Stereoscopic packing diagram for $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left\{\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}\right)_{2}\right\}\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right] . \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ along the $a$ axis with the $b$ axis vertical.

The orientation of the protons on the methyl group provides minimal overlap with the protons on nearby $C(1)$ and $C(3)$ methylene carbon atoms. The average internal hydrogen-bond distance for hydrogen atoms attached to carbon is $0.94 \AA$, and $0.81 \AA$ for hydrogen atoms attached to oxygen.

The equipment used in this study was funded in part by the National Science Foundation, grant No. CHE79-007027. We are grateful to Diane C. Fisher, Frederick J. Hollander, D. H. Templeton and Kenneth N. Raymond for their assistance.
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# Redetermination of the Structure of $\mu$-Oxo-bis $[\mathbf{5 , 1 0 , 1 5 , 2 0 - t e t r a p h e n y l - ~}$ porphyrinato)iron(III)] at $122 \mathrm{~K},\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]$ 
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#### Abstract

M_{r}=1353 \cdot 2\), orthorhombic, $C 2 c b\left(C_{2 v}^{17}\right)$, $a$ $=15.094$ (4), $b=24.938$ (7), $c=17.825$ (4) $\AA, \quad V=$ $6709.5 \AA^{3}, Z=4$, crystallographically imposed $C_{2}$ molecular symmetry, $D_{x}=1.34 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, Mo $K a$, $\lambda\left(K \alpha_{1}\right)=0.7093 \AA, \quad$ graphite monochromator, $\mu($ Mo $K \alpha)=4.86 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, F(000)=2802 \cdot 4, T=122 \mathrm{~K}$, $R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.093$ for 11416 unique observed reflections. Structural parameters derived from an extensive lowtemperature data set are presented. The previously reported room-temperature structure (Hoffman, Collins, Day, Fleischer, Srivastava \& Hoard (1972). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 94, 3620-3626] is confirmed and improved.

Introduction. The structure of $\mu$-oxo-bis[(5,10,15,20tetraphenylporphyrinato)iron(III)] was previously de-
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termined (Fleischer \& Srivastava, 1969; Hoffman et al., 1972). Recent theoretical interest in this and other oxo-bridged molecules (Tatsumi, Hoffmann \& Whangbo, 1980; Tatsumi \& Hoffmann, 1981) prompted us to collect an extensive low-temperature data set for an experimental charge-density investigation. We were unable to produce a reasonable description of the valence electron density distribution from this study, but nonetheless we derived highly accurate structural parameters.

Experimental. The chosen aspect of space group $C_{2 v}^{17}$ was that of previous investigators (Fleischer \& Srivastava, 1969) and is $C 2 c b$ (equivalent positions: $\left.0,0,0 ; \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}, 0+x, y, z ; x, \bar{y}, \bar{z} ; x, \frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}-z ; x, \frac{1}{2}-y, \frac{1}{2}+z\right)$. The origin has been fixed by $x$ of Fe . Bounding faces of the (c) 1985 International Union of Crystallography

Table 1. Positional parameters and $B_{\text {eq }}$ for $\mu$-oxobis $[(5,10,15,20-$-tetraphenylporphyrinato)iron(III)]

|  | $B_{\text {eq }}=\frac{8}{3} \pi^{2} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} U_{i j} a_{i}^{*} a_{j}^{*} \mathbf{a}_{i}, \mathbf{a}_{j}$. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B_{\text {eq }}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| Fe | -0.00495 | 0.505617 (11) | 0.401708 (16) | 1.2 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | -0.00100 (24) | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1.5 |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | -0.06715 (14) | 0.436737 (78) | 0.36219 (12) | 1.5 |
| N(2) | 0.11348 (14) | 0.471571 (75) | 0.36626 (11) | 1.4 |
| $N(3)$ | 0.05542 (14) | 0.579765 (77) | 0.38462 (12) | 1.5 |
| N(4) | -0.12587 (14) | 0.544079 (78) | 0.38549 (11) | 1.5 |
| C(1) | -0.15707 (17) | 0.42812 (10) | $0 \cdot 35402$ (15) | 1.6 |
| C(2) | -0.17221 (18) | 0.37399 (11) | 0.32843 (15) | 2.0 |
| C(3) | -0.09265 (17) | 0.349615 (98) | 0.32397 (15) | 1.8 |
| C(4) | -0.02718 (16) | 0.388577 (92) | 0.34574 (14) | 1.6 |
| C(5) | 0.06404 (16) | 0.378681 (93) | 0.34644 (13) | 1.6 |
| C(6) | $0 \cdot 12887$ (16) | 0.417986 (98) | 0.35557 (14) | 1.6 |
| C(7) | $0 \cdot 22278$ (17) | 0.40829 (10) | $0 \cdot 34849$ (16) | 1.9 |
| C(8) | 0.26320 (17) | 0.45646 (10) | $0 \cdot 35394$ (16) | 1.9 |
| C(9) | 0.19534 (16) | 0.495738 (97) | $0 \cdot 36524$ (14) | 1.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $0 \cdot 21127$ (17) | $0 \cdot 550890$ (96) | $0 \cdot 37195$ (14) | 1.5 |
| C(1) | $0 \cdot 14494$ (17) | $0 \cdot 58948$ (10) | 0.38193 (15) | 1.6 |
| C(12) | $0 \cdot 16198$ (18) | 0.645874 (99) | 0.39244 (16) | $2 \cdot 1$ |
| C(13) | $0 \cdot 08206$ (18) | 0.669646 (96) | 0.40282 (17) | $2 \cdot 0$ |
| C(14) | $0 \cdot 01599$ (16) | 0.628633 (87) | 0.39795 (14) | 1.6 |
| C(15) | -0.07511 (17) | 0.637432 (92) | 0.40629 (14) | 1.7 |
| C(16) | -0.14040 (17) | 0.59737 (10) | 0.40120 (15) | 1.7 |
| C(17) | -0.23327 (17) | 0.60667 (10) | 0.41097 (16) | $2 \cdot 0$ |
| C(18) | -0.27482 (17) | 0.55909 (10) | 0.40204 (16) | 1.9 |
| C(19) | -0.20740 (16) | 0.51981 (10) | 0.38422 (14) | 1.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | -0.22389 (17) | 0.46593 (10) | 0.36799 (14) | 1.6 |
| C(21) | 0.09384 (17) | 0.322008 (97) | 0.33268 (15) | 1.8 |
| C(22) | 0.08931 (29) | 0.28418 (12) | $0 \cdot 38876$ (18) | $3 \cdot 6$ |
| C(23) | $0 \cdot 11560$ (35) | 0.23154 (13) | 0.37406 (23) | $4 \cdot 6$ |
| C(24) | $0 \cdot 14649$ (26) | 0.21700 (11) | 0.30519 (21) | $3 \cdot 4$ |
| C(25) | $0 \cdot 15092$ (22) | 0.25473 (11) | 0.24916 (21) | $2 \cdot 9$ |
| C(26) | 0.12426 (20) | 0.30711 (10) | $0 \cdot 26245$ (16) | $2 \cdot 3$ |
| C(27) | $0 \cdot 30534$ (17) | 0.569394 (96) | 0.36820 (15) | 1.6 |
| C(28) | 0.36139 (20) | 0.56319 (12) | 0.42942 (16) | 2.5 |
| C(29) | 0.44961 (20) | 0.57805 (14) | 0.42474 (19) | 2.9 |
| C(30) | 0.48250 (19) | 0.59997 (12) | 0.35912 (19) | $2 \cdot 7$ |
| C(31) | 0.42786 (21) | 0.60582 (14) | $0 \cdot 29856$ (18) | $3 \cdot 1$ |
| C(32) | 0.33939 (19) | 0.59079 (13) | 0.30273 (16) | $2 \cdot 7$ |
| C(33) | -0.10411 (18) | 0.69344 (10) | 0.42143 (15) | 1.9 |
| C(34) | -0.10922 (29) | 0.73045 (12) | 0.36446 (19) | $3 \cdot 3$ |
| C(35) | -0.13394 (30) | 0.78342 (13) | 0.37886 (21) | 3.8 |
| C(36) | -0.15268 (26) | 0.79945 (12) | 0.45004 (21) | $3 \cdot 4$ |
| C(37) | -0.14687 (36) | 0.76302 (16) | 0.50759 (22) | 4.9 |
| C(38) | -0.12405 (33) | 0.71000 (14) | 0.49310 (18) | 4.1 |
| C(39) | -0.31804 (17) | 0.44694 (11) | $0 \cdot 36585$ (14) | 1.8 |
| C(40) | $0 \cdot 15552$ (18) | 0.40351 (11) | 0.08990 (15) | $2 \cdot 0$ |
| C(41) | 0.06839 (19) | $0 \cdot 38522$ (12) | 0.09199 (18) | $2 \cdot 5$ |
| C(42) | 0.00672 (20) | 0.41057 (12) | 0.13745 (17) | 2.7 |
| C(43) | 0.03226 (18) | 0.45374 (12) | $0 \cdot 18151$ (16) | $2 \cdot 4$ |
| C(44) | $0 \cdot 11993$ (17) | 0.47138 (11) | $0 \cdot 18019$ (14) | 1.9 |

wedge-shaped crystal: $\{002\},\{020\},(201),(\overline{1} 1 \overline{1})$, ( $\overline{1} 11$ ), ( $\overline{1} 1 \overline{1})$, ( $\overline{1} 11$ ); crystal vol. $=0.048 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$; Picker automatic diffractometer; 17 reflections ( $2 \theta$ range $=27-40^{\circ}$ ) used for measuring lattice parameters; analytical absorption correction applied with a transmission-factor range of $0.86-0.89$; data collected: $+h,+k,+l$ with $(\sin \theta) / \lambda_{\max }=0.925 \AA^{-1}$ and $-h,-k$, $-l$ with $(\sin \theta) / \lambda_{\max }=0.305 \AA^{-1}$; six standard reflections $(\overline{9} 15,208,134,14,0,0,0,0, \overline{10}, 151)$ measured at 100 -reflection intervals showed no significant variation in intensity; 11973 reflections measured; 11416 unique reflections; all measured reflections considered observed; solution by direct methods by use of MULTAN80 (Main et al., 1980). Determination of the polar direction for the chosen crystal was made after a full isotropic refinement based on $F$ with reflections having $F_{o}^{2} \geq 3 \sigma\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)$ and with no anomalous dispersion. Solution $A$ was obtained by including anomalous
dispersion and continuing the isotropic refinement. The residuals for solution $A$ were $R=0.065$ and $w R$ $=0.079$. Solution $B$ was obtained by including anomalous dispersion, reversing the signs for the atomic coordinates, and proceeding with the refinement. The residuals for solution $B$ were $R=0.063$ and $w R$ $=0.077$. Examination of the 20 Friedel pairs of reflections showing the largest differences between $F_{o}(h k l)$ and $F_{o}(\bar{k} \bar{k})$ indicated that solution $B$ correctly predicted the sign of such differences in all cases. Thus solution $B$ is the correct model. Final refinement cycles based on $F^{2}$ magnitudes; H -atom positions derived with the assumption of idealized geometries ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=$ $0.95 \AA$ ); all non-H atoms refined anisotropically; $w=1 / \sigma^{2}\left(F^{2}\right) ; R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.093 ; w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.120 ; S\left(F^{2}\right)$ $=1.12 ; R(F)=0.055$ based on 6574 reflections for which $F_{o}^{2} \geq 3.0 \sigma\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)$; max. $\Delta / \sigma 0.16$ in final cycle of refinement; in final difference electron density synthesis max. and min. heights 0.8 (3) and $-0.8(3)$ e $\AA^{-3}$; atomic scattering factors for non-H atoms those of Cromer \& Waber (1974); for H those of Stewart, Davidson \& Simpson (1965); an anomalous-dispersion correction (Ibers \& Hamilton, 1964) for the Fe atom was applied using values of $f^{\prime}$ and $f^{\prime \prime}$ of Cromer \& Waber (1974); all calculations performed using computer programs previously described (Casella, Silver \& Ibers, 1984).

Discussion. Fractional coordinates for the non-H atoms of $\quad \mu$-oxo-bis[( $5,10,15,20$-tetraphenylporphyrinato)iron(III) are presented as Table 1. The molecular geometry, atom-numbering scheme, and averaged


Fig. 1. Drawing of the crystallographically unique fragment of $\mu$-oxo-bis|(5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrinato)iron(III)] (50\% probability thermal ellipsoids) along with the atom-numbering scheme and averaged bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$. An e.s.d. in parentheses is the larger of that estimated for a single observation from the inverse matrix or from the values averaged. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.
metrical parameters for the porphyrin core are displayed in Fig. 1.* The intrinsic fourfold symmetry of the porphyrin skeleton is reflected in the small values for the e.s.d.'s of the averaged parameters.

The previously reported structure (Hoffman et al., 1972) is confirmed. There is no significant difference between the room-temperature and low-temperature ( 122 K ) geometries, but this report presents a twofold increase in accuracy for the structural parameters of this molecule.
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# The Structures and Solid-State and Solution ${ }^{113} \mathrm{Cd}$ NMR of Diaquabis(p-chlorobenzoato)cadmium(II), $\left[\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{ClO}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right](A)$, Diaquabis( $p$-nitrobenzoato)cadmium(II), $\left[\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right](B)$, and Aquabis $(p$-chlorobenzoato)bis(pyridine)cadmium(II), $\left[\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{ClO}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right](\mathrm{C})$ 
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#### Abstract

A) $M_{r}=459.55$, monoclinic, $C 2 / c, a=$ 25.904 (9),$\quad b=5.371$ (2),$\quad c=12.049$ (7) $\AA, \quad \beta=$ $107.02(4)^{\circ}, \quad V=1603(2) \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{m}=1.89(2)$, $D_{x}=1.90 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \quad$ Mo $K \alpha, \quad \lambda=0.71073 \AA, \quad \mu=$ $17 \cdot 1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, F(000)=904, T \sim 298 \mathrm{~K}, R($ final $)=0.049$ for 2228 reflections. (B) $M_{r}=480 \cdot 66$, monoclinic, $C 2 / c, a=26.415$ (3), $b=5.416$ (1), $c=12 \cdot 179$ (4) $\AA$, $\beta=90.52(3)^{\circ}, \quad V=2514(3) \AA^{3}, \quad Z=4, \quad D_{m}=$ $1.95(2), D_{x}=1.96 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, Мо $K \alpha, \lambda=0.71073 \AA$, $\mu=14.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, F(000)=952, T \sim 298 \mathrm{~K}, \quad R($ final $)=$ 0.039 for 2378 reflections. (C) $M_{r}=599.8$, monoclinic, $C 2 / c, a=16.908$ (7), $b=6.058$ (2), $c=24.547$ (2) $\AA$, $\beta=90.52(3)^{\circ}, \quad V=2514$ (3) $\AA^{3}, \quad Z=4, \quad D_{m}=$ 1.59 (2), $D_{x}=1.59 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, Mo $K \alpha, \lambda=0.71073 \AA$, $\mu=11 \cdot 1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \quad F(000)=1200, \quad T \sim 298 \mathrm{~K}, \quad R($ final $)$ $=0.036$ for 3681 reflections. The structures of $(A)$ and
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$(B)$ are made up of distorted six-coordinate $\mathrm{Cd}^{\mathrm{II}}$ moieties, intermediate in metal-coordination geometry between octahedral and trigonal prismatic, separated by ordinary van der Waals distances. The crystal structure of $(C)$ is made up of seven-coordinate pentagonal bipyramidal $\mathrm{Cd}^{\text {II }}$ separated by ordinary van der Waals distances. The solid-state ${ }^{113} \mathrm{Cd}$ NMR of $(A)$ and $(B)$ consists of a single line at +24 p.p.m., relative to the $\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ standard. The solid-state ${ }^{113} \mathrm{Cd}$ NMR of $(C)$ and the analogous adduct of $(B)$ consists of a single line at +30 and +29 p.p.m. respectively. The methanol solution ${ }^{113} \mathrm{Cd}$ NMR spectra of $(A)$ and $(B)$ consist of a single line at $-51 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. and $-53 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. respectively. These results for all-oxygen coordination are interpreted as the positive values arising from the distorted six-coordinate $\mathrm{Cd}^{11}$ and the negative values correspond to pentagonal bipyramidal seven-oxygencoordinated metal species in solution.


[^0]:    * Lists of structure amplitudes, anisotropic thermal parameters, H -atom positions, and bond distances and angles have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 39917 ( 55 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

